Interview Summary	10/627,919	BAKER, PAUL L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MAMON OBEID	3621
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
1) <u>MAMON OBEID, Examiner</u> . (3) <u>Warren Zitlau, Applicant's repesentitave</u> .		
(2) <u>Calvin Hewitt, SPE</u> .	(4) <u>Paul Baker, Applicant</u> .	
Date of Interview: <u>02 September 2009</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>25 and 29</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>De Vries and Nagel</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Claims 25 and 29 were discussed in light of the teachings of De Vries and Nagel.</u> <u>No agreement has been reached.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
/Mamon Obeid/ Examiner, Art Unit 3621		

Application No.

Applicant(s)